

Getting to know your CoB up close and personal (Under Oath)

Stanley Xerxes Lewis

Stan, how many times did you rail against the accounting faculty and our business college administrators? In your view, Posey was an “Uncle Tom”, Munn was a “dingbat-troublemaker”, Jordan was a two-faced back-stabber, Anderson had an “ugly personality,” Doty was an “idiot,” Niroomand was “dangerous,” etc. Then you joined them, but at what cost? They don’t trust you now, or like you for bad-mouthing them in all those emails to me. Here’s some of your testimony under oath, a down payment to your new “colleagues”: (Q is attorney question; A is Stan Lewis’ answer)

“Q: Did you enjoy working with [the professor] on committees?

A: At the time, yes...

Q: What happened in the last few years?

A: The committee meetings became very stressful [because of the professor].

Q: Which committee meetings are you referring to?

A: Pretty much all [of them]...

Q: The truth of the matter is that you personally have never served on the same committee at the same time as [the professor] has served on a committee?

A: I honestly could not tell you. I do not remember my committee assignments.

Q: Do you have any specific recollection sitting here today of a single committee which you and [the professor] sat together?

A: I do not recall any, no...

Q: You [as interim director of the School of Accountancy and Information Systems] would agree with me, would you not, given the prominence and the fact that it’s so significantly mentioned in [the professor’s] annual report that this problem [student case study] about the Wall Street Journal and not covering the required curriculum was a very serious problem, would you not?

A: That is a very serious problem.

Q: So you allowed students in [the professor’s] class to be subjected to this very serious problem for the remainder or for a part of a semester; is that correct?

A: There is only so many hours in the day...

Q: Dr. Lewis, my question is you allowed that serious problem to continue to exist for those students for the remainder of that semester; is that correct?

A: That is correct.”

For the past three years, you swore you witnessed [the professor] creating “very stressful situations at “pretty much all” committee meetings, but then you admit that you “do not recall any” committee on which you and [the professor] served together. It’s called getting caught, Stan. You want us to believe that there was a “very serious” problem the professor created for students when you were interim director, but it wasn’t even serious enough for you to mention it to him. You just ignored it. The truth is, there were no student problems.

It's called getting caught, Stan. Take your pick from many other examples in your deposition. Stan, you're not ignorant, so your choice to join the mobbing makes you sound like a liar.