THE 2006 COB MERIT RAISE PROCESS: A SIDE BY SIDE COMPARISON OF COMPONENT PARTS

						D		PROJECTED MR	Number Of
RANK	Name	DEPT	MR Rank	TEACHING RANK	SERVICE RANK	RESEARCH RANK	AVERAGE RANK	RANK	Ranks Error
FULL	NIROOMAND	ECO	1	<i>51</i>	8	18	28.7	<i>30</i>	29
ASSIST	ClarkJ	FIN	2	48	15	5	24.7	18	16
ASSIST	CARR	MGT	3	47	39	8	31.35	33	30
ASSIST	ZANTOW	MGT	4	32	32	46	36.9	46	42
FULL	CLARKS	ACC	5	16	8	7	10.85	5	0
ASSOC	PATE	ACC	6	26	5	40	25.65	21	15
FULL	SAWYER	ECO	7	10	8	10	9.5	3	-4
FULL	JORDAN	ACC	8	29	8	4	15	8	0
FULL	Mixon	ECO	9	17	2	1	7.65	2	-7
FULL	BabinB	MKT	10	39	15	3	20.4	1 1	1
FULL	CARTER	ECO	11	8	8	15	10.45	4	<i>-7</i>
Assist	Lai	MIS	12	52	54	18	40.6	51	39
Assist	HALLAB	TM	13	38	32	26	32.3	37	24
ASSIST	Malik	ECO	14	55	39	5	33.5	43	29
FULL	KLINEDINST	ECO	15	45	3	40	32.75	40	25
FULL	POSEY	ACC	16	25	<i>58</i>	48	41.3	<i>54</i>	38
FULL	WILLIAMS	MKT	17	42	39	18	32.85	41	24
FULL	HSIEH	MIS	18	46	22	12	28.1	27	9
FULL	NISSAN	ECO	19	18	32	2	15.9	9	-10
FULL	VEST	MGT	20	43	22	28	32.5	38	18
Assist	PEYREFITTE	MGT	21	35	39	18	30.05	31	10
Assist	Lopez	MKT	22	14	39	23	23.4	13	-9
ASSIST	Gregory	MGT	23	36	58	43	43.95	57	34
ASSIST	OSMONBEKOV	MKT	24	19	39	25	26.1	23	- 1
Assist	ANDERSON	ACC	25	56	39	18	38.45	49	24
ASSOC	DUHON	MGT	26	21	15	<i>37</i>	25.1	19	-7
FULL	Topping	MGT	27	54	32	10	33.1	42	15
ASSIST	SMITHBILL	MKT	28	15	5	56	26.85	24	-4
ASSOC	GREEN	ECO	29	12	22	40	24.3	16	-13
Assist	SEQUEIRA	MGT	30	53	39	30	41.45	55	25
ASSIST	SALTER	FIN	31	3	3	28	11.75	6	-25
ASSIST	DANIEL	MGT	32	23	22	36	27.3	26	-6
Assist	Monchuck	ECO	33	24	54	43	38.15	48	15
FULL	HENTHORNE	MKT	34	44	15	12	25.55	20	-14
ASSIST	CHEN	MIS	35	49	39	9	32.5	39	4
ASSOC	KING	FIN	36	2	1	16	6.65	1	-35
FULL	LaFleur	MKT	37	33	5	32	25.65	22	-15
FULL	PRICE	TM	38	<i>30</i>	15	37	28.7	29	-9 -
FULL	LINDLEY	FIN	39	27	39	32	31.75	34	-5
FULL	GUNTHER	ECO	40	9	39	31	24.2	15	-25
ASSOC	BECKER	TM	41	57	22	26	37.4	47	6
FULL	LEWIS	MIS	42	7	22	16	13.9	7	-35
FULL	BUSHARDT	MGT	43	<i>28</i>	<i>15</i>	48	31.75	<i>35</i>	-8 C
FULL	BabinL	MKT	44	50	32	32	39.2	50	6

								PROJECTED MR	NUMBER OF
D	B. T	D	MR	TEACHING	SERVICE	RESEARCH	AVERAGE	RANK	RANKS
RANK	NAME	DEPT	RANK	Rank	RANK	Rank	RANK		ERROR
FULL	DEPREE	ACC	45	22	39	24	26.95	25	-20
Assoc	HENDERSON	ACC	46	1 1	32	56	32	36	-10
ASSIST	MICHAEL	MGT	47	40	32	48	40.8	52	5
INST	MUNN	ACC	48	4	8	56	23.2	12	-36
FULL	DAVIS	MIS	49	20	22	43	28.55	28	-21
ASSIST	Yang	MGT	50	41	54	12	34.1	44	-6
INST	Brown	ACC	51	37	54	52	46.5	58	7
INST	LOYD	MKT	52	6	22	32	19.1	10	-42
INST	SEVIER	MGT	53	1	15	55	23.4	14	-39
ASSOC	ALBIN	ACC	54	31	22	52	36.1	45	-9
ASSOC	MAGRUDER	MIS	55	34	39	51	41.2	53	-2
Assoc	SMITHBOB	ACC	56	13	22	39	24.35	17	-39
INST	FENNELL	MGT	57	5	39	56	31.35	32	-25
ASSIST	SHI	ECO	58	58	58	52	55.9	60	2
ASSIST	GORE	ACC	59	59	39	56	52.95	59	0
FULL	DOTY	MGT	60	60	8	46	42.1	56	-4

RED FONT INDICATES THAT THE INDIVIDUAL WAS AN ADMINISTRATOR DURING THE 2004-2005 MERIT RAISE WINDOW.

ITALICS INDICATE PERS PARTICIPATION.

THE 2006 MERIT RAISE RANKING (MR RANK) TAKEN FROM DOCUMENTS AT WWW.USMPRIDE.COM.

TEACHING RANK IS BASED ON STUDENT CREDIT HOURS PRODUCED DURING THE 2004-2005 ACADEMIC YEAR, ALSO PRESENTED AT www.usmpride.com. SCH ARE AN IMPERFECT PROXY FOR TEACHING QUALITY. SINCE OTHER MEASURES ARE "CONFIDENTIAL" SCH WILL CONTINUE TO PROXY TEACHING QUALITY.

SERVICE RANK IS BASED ON A WEIGHTED AVERAGE OF SERVICE ACTIVITIES DURING THE PERIOD 2004-2006, ALSO PRESENTED AT www.usmpride.com. THE SERVICE RANKING DOCUMENT WEIGHTS UNIVERSITY SERVICE APPROXIMATELY DOUBLE COLLEGE SERVICE AND PLACE NO WEIGHT ON DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE, GIVEN THAT DEPARTMENTAL SERVICE IS A COMMON BOONDOGGLE.

RESEARCH RANK IS BASED ON OLDCBAER'S SUGGESTED METHOD FOR RANKING RESEARCH, AVAILABLE AT <u>WWW.USMPRIDE.COM</u>. UNDER THIS METHOD, A WEIGHTED SUM OF RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY IS TAKEN. SEE "TOTAL POINTS RANK" ON THE MASTER RESEARCH PRODUCTIVITY DOCUMENT.

AVERAGE RANK IS CALCULATED BY TAKING .45*TEACHING RANK + .35*RESEARCH RANK + .25*SERVICE RANK, WHICH IS THE WEIGHTING SCHEME PRESENTED IN THE HANDBOOK.

PROJECTED MR RANK IS ACHIEVED BY ORDERING AVERAGE RANK FROM LOWEST TO HIGHEST.

Number of Ranks Error is the number of places higher or lower an individual's actual merit raise ranking is compared to the projected rank. For example, Farhang Niroomand should have ranked 30^{th} in the merit raise process, yet he ranked 1^{st} , meaning that his actual rank was 29 places too high.